

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/589,781	ITO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ROBERT R. RAINEY	2629	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) ROBERT R. RAINEY.

(3) _____.

(2) Charu Mehta.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 July 2009

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed election of species requirement. Reached agreement as to the claims to examine in the first action. The claims elected are listed in the first office action, which accompanies this document.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)